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Abstract: Moschus moschiferus was originally proved by Hahnemann and its pathogenesis is explored in reference to original proving sources. Formative substance trials (provings) reveal spasmodic, "hysterical", convulsive and ataxic affects with characteristic concomitants and specific organ affinity. This case that was finally cured by this remedy is presented with case analysis and the therapeutic outcome; yet it was highly regarded in its accuracy of recording and reporting the consistent effects (characteristics) of substances, with remarkable fidelity to the original sources cited.
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Proem [προοίμιο]
This short discourse presents some of the known pure (conjectureless) effects of Moschus moschiferus Linn. (Musk-deer) recorded by Hahnemann in his Reine Arzneimittellehre (RA), and present a case history to illustrate its use in behavioural disorders of the Moschus type. As with our monograph on Digitalis, we show some of our ongoing research and findings towards the Materia Medica Hahnemannah (MMH) project. It is much hoped to encourage others to a careful examination of our MM sources and report their findings to consolidate and grow our knowledge-base, with certainty.

Moschus
Despite its long historical use as fragrance and medicine, we find a relative paucity of distinctive information on its specific effects, hence an uncertainty in prescribing with much variance in therapeutic outcome; yet it was highly regarded in spasmodic and ataxia (nervous hyper-excitation) conditions, especially by earlier writers of the old-school, even used as a remedy against insanity. But this invaluable medicine gradually fell into disuse, in large part due to its great demand and expense, and given the large amounts employed. This lead to frequent adulteration (therefrom its noted unreliability), and to the use of artificial musk substitutes (Moschus artificialis; even so-called vegetable musks).

For these reasons Hahnemann could only recruit a few fragments from existing accounts – only 40 symptoms from 31 old-school authors. Yet Moschus' therapeutic potential was evident by the striking nature and violence of its effects, especially on sensitive subjects prone to hysteria (Boerhaave, Cartheuser, Fuller, etc.), and by the numerous accounts of serious effects (even fatalities) arising from exposure to the mere odour (Bartholin, Boyle, Hoffmann, etc.). So Hahnemann well apprehended its value & included Moschus in his first edition RA (RA1, vol.1, 1811), even before he had the opportunity to conduct methodical substance trials (provings), and by 1822 (for RA3), he had added his provings to better define the effects of this substance.

Pharmacogenesis
Our pharmacogenetic pathway for Moschus as it appears within Hahnemann's RA is as follows:

RA1 (39 ss) 0 Hahnemann (H); 39 from old school (o.s.) sources (some ss. multiple authorities)
RA2 (152 ss) 2 H; 40 o.s. ([6+7] = (12); (126,136) added) + 111 homoeopathic school [Stf 28; Gss 74; FrH 9]
RA3 (152 ss) 2 H; 40 o.s. + 111 homoeopathic school [Stf 28; Gss 74; FrH 9]

It is important to note these provings for Moschus from Hahnemann and his three fellow observers of the homoeopathic school were conducted between 1811–1822 (RA1 – RA3), and whilst Hahnemann continually experimented with ever-smaller medicinal doses in prescribing, he did not generally recommend the advantages of potentised substances in provings until the 5th edition Organon (1833), long after he had left Leipzig and the immediate contact with his Prover's Union. It should therefore not come as a surprise to learn these symptoms contributed by G.W.Gross and J.E.Stapf were observed from two grains of Musk (i.e. not from potency), and whilst not stated there, it is not likely Hahnemann and his son Friedrich used very different preparations given Hahnemann set the dosages for these trials.

Indeed the formative substance trials (provings) for our materia medica were made using regular preparations in non-infinitesimal doses, as may readily be seen by looking at Hahnemann’s contributions in Fragmenta, where he states the
preparation used, as for example: Aconitum Fr.1 succus herbae totius in sole insipissatus [juice of the whole plant thickened in the sun] Arnica Fr.17 spirituosa pulvis radicis, radicis pulvis [spirituous powdered root, root powder] Belladonna Fr.25 succus herbae totius in solis calore insipissatus [juice of the whole plant thickened in heat of the sun] Camphor Fr.47 Camphorae pulvis et solutio in spiritu vini [camphor powder dissolved in wine] Cantharis Fr.57 pulvis tincture [tincture of the powder] [FrH]; and whilst the old-school (Morgenstern, Stf) reports merely stomach pain, the homeopathic completes this symptom, adding the nature of pain (tense-pressing, Stf; tight burning [FrH]), the concomitant (anxiety at chest, Gss), and modalities (inspiration, Gss; after the midday meal, FrH)).

Old-School (allopathic)

Of the 31 old-school authorities cited by Hahnemann, only Cullen and Wall were in English. The following table lists all old-school authors cited by Hahnemann, along with the total number of their symptoms recruited:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cited source</th>
<th>ss</th>
<th>cited source</th>
<th>ss</th>
<th>cited source</th>
<th>ss</th>
<th>cited source</th>
<th>ss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[B38]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Boyle</td>
<td>[L33]</td>
<td>Löseke</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[R33]</td>
<td>Riedlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C10]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mead</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Fr)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[R27]</td>
<td>Rolfinck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C34]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Crantz</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mead</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[S1]</td>
<td>Sennert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[G26]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cullen</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mead</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[S18]</td>
<td>Morgenstern</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Except for Sanctorius for whom we have only obtained secondary reports, we have directly examined each of the remaining authorities, as well (preferentially) their original precursors (e.g., Boyle) where Hahnemann had access only to a later translation – it was not at that time uncommon for publications in one language to later appear in another, and Hahnemann cites the original wherever possible (depending on his location). Thus, for Baylies, he cites the original English in Bell., Con., Dig.; but for Ars. only a German review in Sammlung Auserlesener Abhandlungen…. For Moschus, he cites the Latin translation of Boyle, and German of Whytt, for which we were able to access the originals.

Table 1. Homeopathic school contributors to Moschus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>prover / proving master</th>
<th>ss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[H] Samuel Hahnemann (1755-1843)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[FrH] Friedrich Hahnemann (1786 - ?)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Stf] Johann Ernst Stapf (1788-1860)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Gss] Gustav Wilhelm Gross (1794-1847)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lost to the allopath is the detail provided in these contributions, made by those seeking to observe closely and express clearly the effects of this substance, without the confines of generalised classification, and thereby supply the distinguishing information not available outside such methodical provings. In the case of Moschus, whereas the old-school (Cartheuser,) reports merely vertigo, the homeopathic school adds definition with modalities (slightest movement of head, Stf; and concomitant nausea, Stf) and thus reveals support in the facial eruptions about Moschus, the homœopathic completes this symptom, adding the nature of pain (tense-pressing, Stf; tight burning, FrH), the concomitant (anxiety at chest, Gss), and modalities (inspiration, Gss; after the midday meal, FrH)).

Case of ‘Tantrums’ in a Child

MD, female child, aged 2½ years: Presented 2nd February 2001 with allergic reactions to dairy products (especially milk) – there appears a fiery-red ‘angry’ rash on the face, especially about the mouth, and the child ‘chucks a tantrum’, kicks, screams, and is just uncontrollable – settles by eating (not dairy). I took the following TBR rubrics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubrics</th>
<th>Nat. c</th>
<th>Sep.</th>
<th>Calc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1810 Modalities - From Foods &amp; Drinks - Milk</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1893 Regional - Head - Face - Appearance - Eruptions (eruforescence, exanthema) - mouth, about the</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901 Modalities - From Situation &amp; Circumstance - Eating - after - amel.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A quick check of Natrum carbonicum in Hahnemann’s Chronic Diseases (CD) revealed support in the facial eruptions about...
the mouth (ss.220, 231, 233), as well ill-humour and even furor (ss.38, 42, 46, 52, 53):

02 Feb.2001  (initial)  Rx: Nat-c. 30 (L) o.m. (every morning)
01 Dec. 01  (10 months later)  Worse (hence their hesitation to return) – within 2-3 weeks of starting remedy child became very aggressive, even without taking any dairy – started biting people, and other children.

Could this be an aggravation (too much too soon)? … or did it indicate this was not the correct remedy? I again examined Nat-c., and realised the following significant contradiction, reported by the reliable Schréter, to the child’s condition which was settled by eating:

362 After the midday meal [Mittag-Essen], peevish, cross, ill humoured, neither satisfied in the room, nor in the open air; this diminished toward evening. [Srt]
363 After meals, at noon and in the evening, very cross, for several days. [Srt]
364 After the evening meal [Abend-Essen], especially after drinking copiously [reichlichem Trinken], very ill humoured, with pressure in the scrobiculus cordis, the liver and splenic region. [Srt]
365 After breakfast [Frühstücke], when he had hardly eaten a sufficiency, pressure in the stomach and ill-humour (26th d). [Srt]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natrium carbonicum</th>
<th>Eating</th>
<th>Rep 2</th>
<th>Rep 3</th>
<th>Rep 4</th>
<th>Rep 5</th>
<th>Rep 6</th>
<th>Rep 7</th>
<th>The Bönninghausen Repertory 2:1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1900 Modalities - From Situation &amp; Circumstance - after</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubrics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whilst we see Nat-c. is listed under both amel. & aggr. after eating (in high grade), the improvement is with respect to symptoms of digestion and languor, whilst these above noted symptoms of aggravation after eating pertain directly to disturbances of mood – the exact opposite of the patient whose mood settles after eating (non-dairy). It became clear Nat-c. was not homeopathic to this case and I needed to re-evaluate.

The mother also reported the child has developed almost constant snuffles without real discharge (TBR, 578).

Rx: Bell 30 (L) o.m. (every morning)

05 Jan. 02 Better. Tantrums less. Rash only slight. Rx: Bell 30 (L) alt. d. (every second day)
02 Feb. 02 All good. Behaviour is much better. Rx: Bell 30 (L) alt. d. to continue.
16 Mar. 02 Going well. Rx: Bell 30 (L) alt. d. to continue.
20 Apr. 02 Going well. Rx: Bell 30 (L) alt. d. to continue.
01 June 02 Behaviour the problem: aggressive & very stubborn – won’t be influenced to do other’s suggestions. Also, mother has noticed urine is very sharp and offensive over the past few weeks, smells just like ammonia; this symptom, together with the episodes of such uncontrollable

06 July 02 ‘Temperament is fantastic: Child is responsive, nice, and now even listening to the suggestions of others.

Rxn: Mosch. 30 (L) alt. d.

This patient was continued on the same remedy & potency, in gradually diminishing frequency, until early 2003; by the end of that year the parents (also my patients) reported she continued well – mood was good and allergies had not returned. This patient again returned to see me in March 2017 (aged 17 years), accompanied by the parents, for her social anxiety when meeting new people; neither the tantrums or allergies had returned. She is still under treatment (but not with Moschus).

Case Discussion

It is always important to try and verify a repertorial inclusion through reference to original sources. The ammoniacal urine superadded to the original behavioural disorder pointed out only five likely remedies. I was already aware that Hahnemann did not observe ammoniacal urine under Moschus, but we do find this symptom reported in Jörg’s Materialien (observed by Otto, pp.293-294):

“Around 8 am, July 16th, upped the dose to 8 grains, and he soon developed a moderate dullness of the head which went into a slight headache after 1 hour. In the forenoon hours, this condition was accompanied by such a distraction that prevented working … The excretions were normal, but the sweat did not smell of musk and just as little the urine, but the latter had a penetrating and very pungent ammoniacal odour. Also, the faces of the alimentary canal again smelled sweet insipid, but not of musk.” (An image of the Materia Medica appears on the following page.)

The next thing to explain is my use of rubric 1101 hysterical convulsions, which could only have been made as a result of having closely investigated its meaning at the time of Hahnemann & Bönninghausen – in short, the terms hysteria (in the female) & hypochondriasis (in the male) were seen as synonymous, and ‘hysterical spasms’ were severe erratic (ataxic) fits (spasms, convulsions) otherwise inexplicable (at that time). The behaviour of this child during their worst episodes of uncontrollable violent outbursts, with mobilisation of blood to the head & inflammatory eruption of the skin of the face, resembled this description.

Now Hahnemann’s pharmacography for Moschus lists
only two symptoms mentioning hysteria, viz.: 123 Hysterical sufferings. [S 13: S 16: W 11: S 19]
125 Hysterical affections, even in males. [R 13]

But these summary terms, easy for the casual eye to pass over, are pregnant with meaning. Thus we learn that such brevity was never intended to teach the effects of a medicine, rather, to remind us of the effects produced by this substance – and it remains for the practitioner to study more widely to understand the full meaning and significance of such summaries.

Many writers mention the wonderful effects of Musk in the treatment of ataxia (Gr. αταξία: without order, irregular) or ataxic phenomena, meant in conditions of excessive neuronal activity (hyper-excitability states, hysteria, etc.), beyond what is normally expected in similar conditions. The following accounts, unknowingly homœopathic, provide a nice overview of such neuronal hyperactivity, which shows itself out of proportion to other symptoms present:


“In the first place, Gentlemen, let me remark that musk is a medicine which I seldom employ in the treatment of pneumonia. … It is in the forms of pneumonia accompanied by delirium which were called ataxic and malignant by the old writers, that this treatment takes an important part. … What ought we to understand by the expression ataxic pneumonia; or, to speak more accurately, what is ataxia in pneumonia? … Nervous disorders, delirium in particular, supervening in the course of diseases are insufficient to constitute ataxia. … there is a species of low delirium, attended by a want of harmony between the different symptoms, and a predominance of nervous phenomena bearing no evident relation to the inflammation of the lung. Under the influence of antiphlogistics or antimonials, this ataxic state increases. Were we to judge only by the diagnostic signs derived from stethoscopic and plessiometric examination, we should say that the pneumonia is not serious, and yet the vital power, prostrate and disorganised, collapses suddenly, and the patient dies. This is ataxia—this is malignity. … What occurred in the case of our patient of bed 24 St. Bernard’s ward? From the second day of her pneumonia, this woman was delirious, though the local affection remained very limited in situation, and did not pass beyond the second degree. The respirations rose to 88 in the minute, although the pulse was only 84. The ataxia was evident: the indication for giving musk was precise. …”


“In febrile affections, distinguished by ataxic rather than typhoid symptoms … when the nervous is more deranged than the vascular system, in febris nervosa versatilis rather than in febris stupida, musk is indicated; … It usually presents itself after the more active and open symptoms that mark the invasion of the attack, while the pulse is moderately strong and full, the countenance animated, and the vitality of the skin unimpaired. The eye is then observed to grow dull, the hearing becomes impaired, the breathing more anxious and sighing, the speech feeble and stammering; there is a mild muttering delirium rather gay than sombre, flocculation, muscae volitantes, and hiccup; the tongue is tremulous, and may be brown and dry, smooth and polished, or uneven and pasty; there is subsultus tendinum and twitching of the facial muscles and of the lower limbs; the skin is hot, dull, dirty, dry, and harsh, or else covered with a profuse sweat; and the pulse is small, frequent, tremulous, and irregular. This state tends to pass into coma or collapse, in either of which musk would be as unavailing as improper; but to counteract the symptoms which have been described, nothing acts with more promptness or certainty. This is doubtless the state which Cullen had in view in the following passage:

“… wherever the symptoms of strong spasm appear, where there is a delirium, subsultus tendinum, and convulsive motions without the irritation being remarkable, and where we presume the disease to be in the nervous system, there musk has been of considerable service.”

Let us read some of the reports on the wonderful (unknowingly homœopathic) efficacy of musk in ‘nervous’ disorders:


“For myself, I place it in the same rank with quinine and arsenic in the treatment of what are termed the purely nervous affections. It is generally recommended in books to begin with ten grains; – in my hands a much smaller dose has been attended with the best effects in numerous cases. But a great deal depends upon the purity of the drug. I lately succeeded with Musk in a case of intermittent Squint when pregnant, but had no fits under other circumstances, consulted me in her case: I tried every remedy I could think of without any advantage whatever; I then gave her Musk, which at once stopped the fits. The dose in this case was four grains.”
Recapitulation
This child’s response to our initial incorrect prescription (Nat-c) was to aggravate the violent behaviour independent of dairy intake, thus subordinating that modality. The next remedy prescribed (Bell.), produced an initial (5 month) improvement, but itself became tolerated and unable to effect a complete removal of the troublesome symptoms; its continued use resulted in a new symptom (ammoniacal odour of urine), one unknown in the effects of Belladonna, and this new symptom, considered together with the episodes of uncontrollable violent behaviour, revealed the final remedy in the series to be Moschus.

There is a process which must be adhered to in the practice of Homœopathy, from the initial case taking, to the homœopathic diagnosis (prescription), and through the case management with each follow-up prescription, right to the end when either a ‘cure’ or at least an improved steady-state may be declared.

The things we discover when only we look
The study of old texts, of a by-gone era in medicine, does bring to light remarkable accounts which require careful scrutiny, but can also be very entertaining, as for example we translate the following old-school account from Dictionnaire des sciences médicales (Paris, 1813, vol.4) which confirms Moschus’ reputation as a stimulant of sexual performance (p.177):

“Borelli (centuria 2), says that he knew a man who rubbed his penis with musk before coitus; he engaged and remained united to his wife as dogs are with their females. It was necessary to give him a large number of enemas, in order to soften the part and to obtain separation of the two individuals. Diemerbroeck confirms this singular property of musk by an observation analogous to this: here it was necessary, in order to separate the spouses, that a great deal of cold water be thrown onto them. Schürigius mentions a similar case.”

And this account is entirely consistent with the known effects of Moschus, as we see in the following report from Weikard evidencing the action of Moschus in producing a striking vasodilation and blood flow to engorge the penis and increase sexual power in an 80 year old man whose penis had gradually shrunken over the previous 3 years with an inability to perform any sexual intercourse (Vermischte Medizinische Schriften, 1778, pp.44-45):

“A cheerful gentleman, nearly 80 years of age, finally developed a weakness in his eyes and ears. I suggested these were due to old age, and hence made no great promises. ... Internally he took Moschus mixed with sugar. What a happy joyful smile emerged from the old face when he saw me again. He told me, with delight, one effect of the Moschus, which he had not again expected in his life. He had not had intercourse for three years, and since then the male member had become so small, or so retracted, that he really believed it to be lost. Now, with the use of Moschus, the member once again had grown to a normal size. ...”

Closing remarks
Some have severely criticised Hahnemann’s pharmacographies, most notably D.D.Roth (Paris) & Florenz Weikard (Darmstadt) – self-inflated pseudo-experts who vigorously promoted the wholesale purging of what they deemed useless symptoms.6 But the closeness of our own examination of this material, especially over the past dozen years, has provided the evidence for an unshakeable foundation upon which to pronounce Hahnemann’s pharmacographies, to this day, remain unmatched in their accuracy of recording & reporting the consistent effects (characteristics) of substances, with remarkable fidelity to the original sources cited.

Hahnemann possessed a singular ability to sift through volumes of material (in their original language), often unclear, conflicting, verbose with irrelevancies and clouded with imagined hypothesis; to then extract and organise the certain pure effects of a substance, as further confirmed by his own close observations and provings trials.

Notes
1. Pharmacography (Gr. φαρμάκο [pharmaco], medicine, + γραφή [graphy], writing) – one of a series of terms proposed (Sydney Seminar, July 2005) as part of a standard nomenclature, and is in preference a single word synonymous with materia medica. Due to limitations of space here, our copious notes providing literature evidence and further exegesis have been largely removed, but the full article will be made available at our website address www.hahnnemanninstitute.com in due course.
2. Hahnemann, S.: Reine Arzneimittellehre [RA]. RAI (first edition) published in 6 sequential volumes over the ten years 1811-1821; RAII (2nd edition) 1822-1827; RAIII (3rd edition) 1830 (vol.1) & 1833 (vol.2) only. Moschus did not appear in Fragmenta (1805). R.E. Dudgson’s Materia Medica Pura (MMP) is the English language edition of Hahnemann’s RA.
3. Dimitriadis, G.: Digitalis purpurea, a pure pharmacography, 2017. Hahnemann Institute Sydney. This monograph reflects the singular work of our MMH in tracing each symptom to its original (old-school) source, and providing those original accounts, English translated for the first time where necessary, so that the reader may apprehend the contextual meaning of the recorded symptoms.
4. Materia Medica Hahnemanniana (MMH) is the preliminary title for our (as yet unpublished) pharmacographic work to correct and revive Hahnemann’s RA & CK for the English language.
5. “Old-school” is the term used by Hahnemann in referring to the existing mainstream (allopathic) medical practice, in contrast to the emergent new (homœopathic) school. Once Hahnemann had coined the term homœopathic (Gr. ὁμοιον [omoion], similar), he then coined the term allopathy (Gr. ἀλλος [alloς] [allos], other than) to refer to all practices other than the homœopathic.
6. Pharmacogenesis (Gr. φαρμάκο [pharmaco], medicine + γένεσις [genesis], birth, origin) refers to the origin of our pharmacography proper for that specific substance, from whence it was first written for our purpose. We refer the reader here to our earlier article Pure Pharmacography (HiSydney) which illustrates our study of substance effects from primary sources by way of brief example with Digitalis.
7. Symptom count: RAI [6 + 7] were combined into RAII (12), reducing the old-school number from 39 to 38; RAII also added 2 symptoms from the old-school (from Schröck (126), and Crell (136)), bringing the final number of symptoms to 152 (one of 9 FrH symptoms being shared with one from the old-school).
8. The preparations of Moschus used by Gross and Stapf are noted on the first of their symptoms contributed:
   2 He has a feeling in the head like vertigo. [Gss]
Materia medica

15. We do not here wish to burden the reader with too much mate

14. Hahnemann himself guides us in this circumstance (§170):


11. J.C.G., Jörg's Materialien zu einer k ünftigen heilmittellehre durch

10. Note: subscript numbers appending a named authority refer to the

9. As Hahnemann's accuracy in prescribing the most similar medicine (similimum) increased, so did the tendency for aggravation from too

large a dose, and this drove his experimentation further and further

into the ever-diminishing dose.

10. Note: subscript numbers appending a named authority refer to the

MMP symptom number.

11. J.C.G., Jörg's Materialien zu einer k ünftigen heilmittellehre durch

Versuche der Arzneyen an gesunden Menschen [Materials for a future

Materia Medica through experiments with medicines on healthy people], Leipzig, 1825, pp.285-307. Jörg (who remained a staunch allopopath) intended to observe the effects of drugs "nach Hahne-

mann" (i.e., through methodical experiment on the healthy), but his

work is generally made inadequate especially by his confounding
dosage regimes (timing & repetition), and by his use of mixtures
(e.g., Moschus-Magnesia, Digitalis-Magnesia). For Moschus, Jörg
recruits himself and 8 others for this substance trial [Günz, Kneschke,
Martini, Otto, Siebenhaar, Frau Ch., (45 year old female) kleine Th. (12
year old girl), Theodor Jörg (his 14 year old son)], all experimented
using the crude substance mixed with water, with magnesia and
water, or with sugar.


13. Dimitriadis, G., The Bönningshausen Repertory – therapeutic pocket-
bok method, 2010, HISydney.

14. Hahnemann himself guides us in this circumstance (§170):

"Hence in this as in any other case where a change of the morbid state
has occurred, the remaining set of symptoms now present must be
inquired into … another homeopathic medicine, as appropriate as
possible to the new state now before us, must be selected."

15. We do not here wish to burden the reader with too much mate-
rnal, but its importance, even today, warrants some input from our
learned colleagues of past, sufficient to provide a historical context
and dispose of this matter properly:

David Wilson, Fragmentary Remarks, The Monthly Homœopathic

"I am quite aware that Dr. Roth of Paris and others are trying to
purge the Materia Medica of supposed errors; but I also know that
Dr. Constantine Hering of Philadelphia does not agree with these
gentlemen in their labours, and I would rather defer to the solid
judgment of a mind like Hering's than to that of critics destitute of
his vast knowledge both as a prover of drugs and immense experi-
ence as a physician. Dr. Roth, in his list of remedies from which he
would expunge so many thousands of symptoms, omits Gratiola
and Paris Quadrifolia, to which Ng. contributed respectively 514
and 79 symptoms. In fact, were Dr. Roth's demolition accepted, the
Materia Medica would be in a nutshell. I can answer him by numer-
cous cures effected through the remedies to the selection of which
I was led by the very symptoms he expunges! …"

Carroll Dunham, An address delivered before the Cayuga County
Homoeopathic Medical Society, in Transactions of the Homoeopathic
Medical Society of New York, 1866, vol.4, pp.174-178:

"Hahnemann gave us a Materia Medica Pura, in which the slightest
effects of drugs, not merely those which could be observed by a looker-on, but also modifications of sensation, thought and emotion, perceptible only to the prover, were care-
fully recorded in such a way as to make the effects of each drug
most clearly distinguishable from those of every other. A cry went
up at once from the ranks of the old school, against the puerility
of these alleged provings, and the absurdity of prescribing for seri-
ous diseases on the strength of such "trivial" symptoms. It was
affirmed that subjective symptoms of which the majority of
each proving consists, are almost valueless to the prescriber as
an indication for treatment. A great many homeopathists were
deployed directly by these allegations and proceeded in various
ways to expurgate the Materia Medica, striking out the subject-
ive symptoms and seeking the characteristics only in the few
objective symptoms which the provings contain. The injurious
influence thus exerted on the practice of homoeopathy through-
out the world has been almost inexpressibly great!

"The disposition early shown to expurgate the Materia Medica, as
it was called, and to exclude from it most of the subjective
symptoms, reducing each proving to a collection of objective
phenomena, led to the re-provings of drugs by the Austrian Soci-
ety. This labor was unquestionably undertaken for the purpose of
showing that Hahnemann had been very loose and unguarded in
compiling his Materia Medica, and that many symptoms therein
contained were untrustworthy. By the admission of the Austrian
provers themselves, the result was a complete vindication of
Hahnemann. The effect on the school at large was an increased
respect for Hahnemann, and a greater confidence in his teach-
ings and provings.

"The studies of Materia Medica by Dr. Roth, which are now
appearing in the Vierteljahrschrift, have a similar object; they are
monuments of industry, and will certainly do much good; chiefly,
however, in a direction the very opposite of that in which their
author intends them to operate. Dr. Hering has already exposed
the inaccuracy of many of Roth's criticisms on Hahnemann's
provings; but the very barrenness of the state to which he would
reduce the Materia Medica, making it a mere collection of objec-
tive symptoms of results of pathological actions, deprived of all
the characteristic individuality which subjective symptoms give,
shows to the intelligent student, that such a Materia Medica can
never meet the needs of the prescriber. A similar result attended
the labors of the compilers of the so-called "American Materia
Medica," which appeared in the North American Journal, but
came to an end, we believe, at the time of the secession of its
chief fabricator, Dr. Peters. The revulsion from these attempts to
eviscerate Hahnemann's Materia Medica has been a powerful
agent in the reaction we speak of."

Constantine Hering, Materia Medica with Pathological Index, Phila-
delphia, 1873, vol.1, pp.39-40:

"In the history of our school, the so-called criticisms of Mate-
ría Medica take up a large space, and it is of importance that
our younger colleagues should know all about them. … Hah-
emann's Materia Medica was attacked by the old school from
1805 up to the time when our enemies commenced to try to kill
us by silence. The Anti-Hahnemannians, beginning among the
Homoeopathic school, in 1830 repeated nearly all objections
made by the old school, adding but a few equally absurd. In
Vienna, the old school doctors commenced a series of provings
on the healthy, in order to refute Homœopathy. They failed and
had to give up. In Vienna the homoeopathicians commenced a
long and very valuable series of re-provings, in order to refute
Hahnemann. They failed and had to give up.

"Watzke, a very learned and gifted man, was forced to
acknowledge the greater effect of the so-called smaller doses.
The reproving of Colocynthis and Aconite in 1844, of Argentum
and Thuja in 1846, of Bryonia in 1847, and Nat. muriaticum in
1848, had corroborated nearly every symptom in Hahnemann's
provings, it being the same when the famous provings of Sulphur
were published in 1857, the same with Clematis, the same with
Cyclamen, the same with Lycopodium and Opium in 1862, and
the same with Agaricus in 1863. Watzke was forced by facts to
acknowledge already, in 1846, that the potencies caused more
symptoms on the healthy and made more cures of the sick, and
exclaimed, 'Alas! I am sorry, but so it is!'

"In the year 1839 the silence was interrupted in the tenth
volume of Clotar Muller's Quarterly [Homöopathische Vier-
teljahrschrift], by the "Studies" of Dr. Roth in Paris. They were
received with great clapping of hands. Assuming an apparent
intimacy with even the minutiae of our Materia Medica, and
feigning the greatest carefulness, he filled sheet after sheet with reprinted symptoms, drawing the most absurd conclusions, and showing in every way his ignorance and want of a truly scientific education. He adopted all the tricks of the French novelists, imitated the manner of Feuilletonists, straining the attention of readers by sudden stops, and by giving a host of promises as if the most wonderful results for science would surely follow."

Τέλος
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